ası	Main Document Page 2 of 9		
1	Failure to timely respond may be deemed as acceptance of withdrawal by David B. Lally as		
2	Defendant's attorney of record. IN THE ALTERNATIVE, MR. LALLY SEEKS		
3	WITHDRAWAL AS DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY OF RECORD AS OF SEPTEMBER		
4	12, 2023. This will afford Defendant sufficient time to locate new Counsel should he seek to do		
5	so.		
6	NO HEARING IS SET OR NECESSARY IF NO OPPOSITION IS FILED. AND THE		
7	DEFENDANT, WHO IS AN ATTORNEY, HAS HAVE BEEN ADVISED TO SEEK NEW		
8	COUNSEL.		
9	I.		
10	INTRODUCTION		
11	1. Defendant retained Mr. Lally to defend the Complaint filed by Plaintiff. However,		
12	certain circumstances now make it impossible for Mr. Lally to continue to represent Defendant.		
13	Mr. Lally and Defendant respectfully differ on various matters in this case, and hence Mr. Lally		
14	chooses to no longer represent Defendant. There is now an actual conflict of interest with		
15	Defendant, in part due to a 9011 Motion allegedly served on Mr. Lally and Defendant by Plaintiff		
16	and its Counsel. Additionally, the 9011 Motion has caused Mr. Lally to incur severe stress,		
17	rendering him unable to continue to represent Defendant. Moreover, Defendant needs additional		
18	time to respond to Plaintiff's 9011 Motion. Finally, Defendant does not allow Mr. Lally to		
19	handle the defense of this case, or to communicate with Plaintiff's counsel telephonically (which		
20	raises ethical issues for Mr. Lally). For all of these reasons, Mr. Lally seeks withdrawal as		
21	Defendant's attorney, and an extension to October 15, 2023, for Defendant to respond to the		
22	9011 Motion of Plaintiff.		
23	II.		
24	THE FACTS		
25	2. Defendant filed his Chapter 7 Petition on March 10, 2023. On August 8, 2023,		
2.0	Defendant retained Mr. I ally to defend a Dischargeshility Complaint. Defendant signed a		

Defendant retained Mr. Lally to defend a Dischargeability Complaint. Defendant signed a
Retainer Agreement with Mr. Lally, and to that end, on August 9, 2023, Mr. Lally filed an
Answer to the Complaint. In fact, Defendant drafted the Answer to the Complaint. Additionally,

4 5

7 8

6

10

11

9

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28 on August 14, 2023, Mr. Lally, on behalf of the Debtor and Defendant and with the Debtor as Co-Counsel, filed a Complaint against various creditors, including the Plaintiff in the Dischargeability case.

- 3. Thereafter, the Debtor requested Mr. Lally to handle various matters in the underlying Chapter 7 case. In that regard, on August 22, 2023, Mr. Lally filed a Notice of Association of Counsel (Docket #113). Subsequently, Mr. Lally realized that this case is much larger than expected, and as a solo practitioner he is unable to handle much of the work required in the Chapter 7 case and the two Adversary Proceedings. Additionally, with due respect to the Defendant, he and Mr. Lally have a different opinion about numerous issues in the Chapter 7 case and both Adversary Proceedings. This difference of opinion renders it impossible for Mr. Lally to continue to represent Defendant.
- 4. Additionally, Plaintiff has sent, albeit by improper service, a 9011 Motion to Mr. Lally and Defendant. However, service is defective. Nonetheless, this Motion raises facts not previously known to Mr. Lally. By way of one simple example, Mr. Lally did not previously know that numerous attorneys representing Defendant in the pre-petition Superior Court case have been sanctioned for taking a position promulgated by Defendant. Mr. Lally does not intend to be the latest sanctioned attorney representing Defendant. Additionally, this 9011 Motion, the first ever served on Mr. Lally in 33 years of practicing law, has caused severe stress, including significant loss of sleep. Mr. Lally is not going to subject himself to sanctions, and from a purely risk-analysis, he is removing himself from this case, completely,
- 5. Moreover, Mr. Lally has now realized that this case, and other matters in this Chapter 7 case, require two full time attorneys working on it. Mr. Lally is a solo practitioner and does not have nearly sufficient time to work on this case alone. All of the above has been communicated to Defendant. He has been advised to seek new Counsel. And this Motion, inter alia, seeks an extension of time to respond to the 9011 Motion, and to withdraw the Answer to the Complaint, and Defendant's Complaint in Adversary # 9:23-ap-01054-RC.
- Additionally, in the Superior Court, Defendant has been deemed a vexatious 6. litigant. That Order is rather ambiguous in that it does not have conditions or qualifications. So

**4** 

it is unclear if the Order applies in that case only, or to any case, including this Chapter 7 case and two pending Adversary Proceeding. It is Mr. Lally's belief that the Vexatious Litigant Order applies only in the Superior Court case. However, it appears that Defendant takes a different view, unbeknownst to Mr. Lally until now.

- 7. The 9011 Motion has created an actual conflict of interest between Mr. Lally and Defendant, such that Mr. Lally can no longer represent Defendant in any capacity and in any case. Mr. Lally will eventually withdraw without prejudice the Answer to the Complaint in this case so Defendant can file a new Answer, and will withdraw and dismiss without prejudice the Complaint in case ## 9:23-ap-01054-RC so Plaintiff there, if he chooses, can re-file it without Mr. Lally's name on it. All of this has been communicated to Defendant numerous times, and he has been advices he can file new pleadings any time he so chooses. Moreover, for other reasons that Mr. Lally may not be able to disclose at this juncture, there is an actual conflict of interest that Mr. Lally believes cannot be waived. And he would not waive the conflict of interest in any event. For reasons that have just come to light in the past week or so, there are reasons why Defendant has been deemed a vexatious litigant that were not previously known to Mr. Lally.
- 8. This Motion seeks a withdrawal by Mr. Lally of his representation of the Debtor. And this Motion seeks an extension of time to October 15, 2023, for Defendant to respond to the 9011 Motion if he so chooses. When the withdrawal of the Answer is made, Mr. Lally believes this absolves him of any potential sanctions, since the Answer is the allegedly offending document.

III.

## THERE IS CAUSE TO GRANT THIS MOTION

- 9. Mr. Lally and Defendant have a difference of opinion with respect to numerous issues in this case. As a result, Mr. Lally cannot effectively represent Defendant, and chooses to no longer represent Defendant under the circumstances. This has nothing to do with the cooperation of Defendant, who has fully cooperated since he retained Mr. Lally. The difference of opinions is cause to withdraw as Defendant's attorney of record.
  - 10. Rule 3-700© of the California Rules of Professional Conduct provides, in part,

Cast 9:23-ap-01023-RC

Doc 16

Filed 09/05/23

Entered 09/05/23 12:39:15 Desc

## DECLARATION OF DAVID BRIAN LALLY

- I, David Brian Lally, declare as follows:
- 12. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in this State and before this Court. I am the attorney of record for Defendant in this Adversary Proceeding. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, and if called upon to testify, I could and would testify thereto. I have reviewed my file, the Court dockets for this Chapter 7 case and Adversary Proceeding, the Debtor's Petition, Schedules and Statement of Financial Affairs, and the documents filed in this Chapter 7 case and various documents filed in the Superior Court case.
- 13. Defendant retained me to defend the Complaint filed by Plaintiff. However, certain circumstances now make it impossible for me to continue to represent Defendant.

  Defendant and I respectfully differ on various matters in this case, and hence I choose to no longer represent Defendant. There is now an actual conflict of interest with Defendant, in part due to a 9011 Motion allegedly served on Defendant and me by Plaintiff and its Counsel.

  Additionally, the 9011 Motion has caused me to incur severe stress, rendering me unable to continue to represent Defendant. Moreover, Defendant needs additional time to respond to Plaintiff's 9011 Motion. Finally, Defendant does not allow me to handle the defense of this case, or to communicate with Plaintiff's counsel telephonically (which raises ethical issues for me).

  For all of these reasons, I am seeking to withdraw as Defendant's attorney, and an extension to October 15, 2023, for Defendant to respond to the 9011 Motion of Plaintiff.
- 14. Debtor and Defendant filed his Chapter 7 Petition on March 10, 2023. On August 8, 2023, Defendant retained me to defend this Dischargeability Complaint. Defendant signed a Retainer Agreement with me, and to that end, on August 9, 2023, I filed an Answer to the Complaint based on the information provided to me by the Defendant. In fact, Defendant drafted the Answer to the Complaint. Additionally, on August 14, 2023, I, on behalf of the Debtor and Defendant, filed a Complaint against various creditors, including the Plaintiff in the Dischargeability case. The Debtor drafted that Complaint as well.
- 15. Thereafter, the Debtor requested me to handle various matters in the underlying Chapter 7 case. In that regard, on August 22, 2023, I filed a Notice of Association of

Counsel (Docket #113). Subsequently, I realized that this case is much larger than expected, and as a solo practitioner I am unable to handle much of the work required in the Chapter 7 case and the two Adversary Proceedings. Additionally, with due respect to the Defendant, he and I have a different opinion about numerous issues in the Chapter 7 case and both Adversary Proceedings. This difference of opinion renders it impossible for me to continue to represent Defendant.

- Defendant and me. However, service is defective. Nonetheless, the 9011 Motion raises facts not previously known to me. By way of one simple example, I did not previously know that numerous attorneys representing Defendant in the pre-petition Superior Court case have been sanctioned for taking a position promulgated by Defendant. I do not intend to be the latest sanctioned attorney representing Defendant. Additionally, this 9011 Motion, the first ever served on me in 33 years of practicing law, has caused <u>severe stress</u>, including significant loss of sleep. I am is not going to subject myself to sanctions, and from a purely risk-analysis, I am removing myself from this case and the Chapter 7 case, completely.
- 17. Moreover, I have now realized that this case, and other matters in this Chapter 7 case, require two full time attorneys working on it. I am a solo practitioner and do not have nearly sufficient time to work on this case alone. All of the above has been communicated to Defendant. He has been advised to seek new Counsel. And this Motion, inter alia, seeks an extension of time to respond to the 9011 Motion, and to withdraw the Answer to the Complaint, and withdraw Defendant's Complaint in Adversary # 9:23-ap-01054-RC. These are the two offending documents referred to in the 9011 Motion.
- 18. Additionally, in the Superior Court, Defendant has been deemed a vexatious litigant. That Order is rather ambiguous in that it does not have conditions or qualifications. So it is unclear if the Order applies in that case only, or to any case, including this Chapter 7 case and two pending Adversary Proceedings. It is my belief that the Vexatious Litigant Order applies only in the Superior Court case. However, it appears that Defendant takes a different view, unbeknownst to me until now.
  - 19. The 9011 Motion has created an actual conflict of interest between Defendant and

Cas	e 9:23-ap-01023-RC Doc 16 Filed 09/05/23 Entered 09/05/23 12:39:15 Desc Main Document Page 8 of 9		
1	me, such that I can no longer represent Defendant in any capacity and in any case. I will		
2	eventually withdraw without prejudice the Answer to the Complaint in this case so Defendant		
3	can file a new Answer, and will withdraw and dismiss without prejudice the Complaint in		
4	Adversary # 9:23-ap-01054-RC so Plaintiff there, if he chooses, can re-file it without my name		
5	on it. All of this has been communicated to Defendant numerous times, and he has been advised		
6	he can file new pleadings any time he so chooses. Moreover, for other reasons that I may not be		
7	able to disclose at this juncture, there is an actual conflict of interest that I firmly believe cannot		
8	be waived. And I would not waive the conflict of interest in any event. For reasons that have		
9	just come to light in the past week or so, there are reasons why Defendant has been deemed a		
10	vexatious litigant that were not previously known to me.		
11	20. This Motion seeks a withdrawal by me of my representation of Defendant. And		
12	this Motion seeks an extension of time to October 15, 2023, for Defendant to respond to the 9011		
13	Motion if he so chooses. When the withdrawal of the Answer is made, I believe this absolves me		
14	of any potential sanctions, since the Answer is the allegedly offending document		
15	I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States that the foregoing is		
16	true and correct. This Declaration was executed at Wilmington, New York.		
17	Dated: September 5, 2023 Law Office of David Brian Lally		
18			
19	/s/ Dayid B. Lally		
20	David Brian Lally, Esq.		
21			
22			
23			

## PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is:

P.O. Box 355, Wilmington, New York 12997

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled (*specify*): NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS THE ATTORNEY OF RECORD FOR DEFENDANT JONATHAN STEIN, AND DECLARATION OF DAVID B. LALLY, ESQ. IN SUPPORT will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in the manner stated below:

<ol> <li>TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONI Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by the court 9/5/2023 , I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy cas following persons are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NE</li> </ol>	via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On se or adversary proceeding and determined that the
Joseph Chora for Plaintiff Gabrielino-Tongva Tribe joseph@chorayou Hasselberger for Interested Party Courtesy NEF shasselberger@ma hasselberger@ecf.courtdrive.com;cbastida@marshackhays.com;kfreD Edward Hays for Interested Party Courtesy NEF ehays@marshackkfrederick@ecf.courtdrive.com;cmendoza@marshackhays.com;cmendoza@marshackhays.com;cmendozid B Lally on behalf of Defendant Jonathan Alan Stein davidlallyla Armen Manasserian for Plaintiff Gabrielino-Tongva Tribe armen@cytepaul@cym.law, Laila Masud on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy Nasud@ecf.courtdrive.com;kfrederick@ecf.courtdrive.com, Jerry Najnambalaw@yahoo.com;jn01@trustesolutions.net;paknamba@gmail Stein jonathans@knightlaw.com	rshackhays.com, ederick@ecf.courtdrive.com khays.com, ehays@ecf.courtdrive.com; hdoza@ecf.courtdrive.com aw@gmail.com m.law, jennifer@cym.law; ameron@cym.law; NEF, Imasud@marshackhays.com, amba (TR) jnambaepiq@earthlink.net,
	☐ Service information continued on attached page
2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL:  On 9/5/2023, I served the following persons and/or entities a or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy thereof in class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows. Listing the judge had be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.	at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy case in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, first here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge
Plaintiff/Debtor Jonathan Stein 2520 Murrell Road, Santa Barbara, C	A 93109
	☐ Service information continued on attached page
3. <u>SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT MAIL, FACtor each person or entity served</u> ): Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or confollowing persons and/or entities by personal delivery, overnight mail such service method), by facsimile transmission and/or email as following personal delivery on, or overnight mail to, the judge <u>will be comparted</u> .	ontrolling LBR, on (date), I served the service, or (for those who consented in writing to bws. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration
	☐ Service information continued on attached page
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States	s that the foregoing is true and correct.
9/5/2023 David Brian Lally, Esq.	/s/ David B. Lally, Esq.
Date Printed Name	Signature